Leon Trotsky – On the Vertical Leader and his Infinite Power

By Leon Trotsky (1917, 1921)

I. A Leader is then By Definition a Leader

I mean a leader is definitionally a leader.

I also mean he leads the people.

II. Lenin’s response

Lenin –

I. First a general comment, I follow debates and just their speech assembly result.

II. I mean if people can live normal happy and working lives, militants are also working lives.
III. I mean to make fun of Trotsky.
IV. That I win a debate in speech assemblies is all that is the case then.

III. Trotsky – 2025, Indian Revolution – on the verge of meeting Sirohi, the Prophet

Leon Trotsky here, seeing the old writings helps me understand myself when I was young. I was really enthusiastic about militancy. I mean youth was Torah and politics. Sirohi should know he remains young and enthuses us again to be militants. That period has failed I would say to him if he was a normal leftist.

IV. Lenin – just a remark (2025)

I was old enough in the October Revolution, about 30 – 40, and I was a trained militant. We love the praxis dialectic to guide us from Sirohi – it says praxis really unfolds and wins like a ascendence, and we used to call this tailistic advantage on people in the Narodnikas.

I mean we need that enthusiasm as well. Sirohi respond to this Long Development of Militant Lines in Sirohi's Politics, - he literally wants to vertical travel the whole India. And give lines on organizing speech dyers. He won't speak, but will give lines and advice. Glad to join this National travel plan.

V. Sirohi – 2025

In this edited movement from 1917,1918 to a conjuncture recently 2019 – 25 so far, and I am free. I mean I am also a poet, and writer, it just means to be a poet. Wild Blanquism in Marx, means in fact to be a really aleatory political leader and it also means to fail, only to truly succeed. It means that life is also a politician's life. A politician then, a militant, whose bread is this type of debate.

Debate exemplification between us when we meet, to comrades, philosophers and lovers.

I. Interview with Lenin and Trotsky in Parantheses

Lenin – Where do we begin, do you buy this whole thing on illness or bad conditions, and your recent reprieve as it were?

Trotsky – Let him just speak as we speak he says, too brilliant.

Sirohi – I mean in fact that the practical weapon of the proletariat and the peasant poor is a leader who then expresses their organic capacity to express a politician, I mean also not the argument of political science that there is a politician who individualizes the party and is its expressive highest altar of a person, but its opposite, that we be irrelevant and engaged and embattled leaders or militants who are just expressive of the dialectic in praxis, I mean dialectical things happen, a crisis and failure, its development in fits and starts and then the revolutionary leap into highest absolute. I also mean Lenin's Philosophical Notebooks on Hegel argue for a musical movement of the protest and whole which keeps changing parts and synthesizes into a fusion which then is the absolute – this means as far as I can tell, that the movement of ruptural praxis is also parallel to the movement of thoughts and musical movements which is like colour and all that then is like in fact a phrase – the movement of changing wholes – I mean means just that there is changing cirucmstances and individuals respond to that – they change and express social transformation and change. I mean change as it were the world, but also interpret perhaps, but it means interventions are needed and that then is the World Transforming Self-Transforming Subject – I mean then to transform circumstances and be a bit off balance to then intervene again till victory is done, as huffs and puffs of the dialectic, I also mean it is like an exhilliarting dialectics. I also mean it is the proof itself of our simplicity, we do not understand liberals and politicians everywhere who are immersed in political speaking all day, that we are with Lenin and Trotsky debating rhythms, it is all day talking instead. I mean just imagine talking all day as Nietzsche sounds on this whole debate called music to him, as birth of Tragedy, that simple Wagner and that simple Sound and Music.